

**Atlantic Metropolis Centre ~ Working Paper Series
Centre Métropolis Atlantique ~ Série de documents de recherche**

The Health Behaviours of Immigrants and Native-Born People in Canada

James Ted McDonald
University of New Brunswick

2006

**Working Paper No. 01-06
Série de documents de recherche 01-06**



**Centre Métropolis Atlantique
Atlantic Metropolis Centre**

The Atlantic Metropolis Centre's Working Papers Series
Série de documents de recherche du Centre Métropolis Atlantique

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the Atlantic Metropolis Centre or its funders.

Les opinions exprimées en cet article sont ceux des auteur(s) et ne reflètent pas nécessairement la vue du Centre Métropolis Atlantique ou de ses bailleurs de fonds.

Copyright of this paper is retained by the author(s).
Copyright de cet article est maintenu par l'auteur(s).

AMC Working Papers Series / Série de documents de recherche du CMA
Attention: Laure Lafrance
5670 Spring Garden Road, Suite 509
Halifax, NS B3J 1H6
E-mail / courriel: lafrance.metropolis@ns.aliantzinc.ca
Website / site Web: <http://atlantic.metropolis.net/>

We are pleased to acknowledge those who support the AMC:

Our Federal Government partners:

Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC), Integration-Net, Canadian Heritage, Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC), Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency (ACOA), Atlantic Provinces Economic Council (APEC), Rural Secretariat, Status of Women Canada, Statistics Canada, Human Resources and Skills Development (HRSDC).

Our Academic partners – Three Lead Universities:

Saint Mary's University, Dalhousie University, and Université de Moncton.

Our NGO partners:

Metropolitan Immigrant Settlement Association (MISA), Multicultural Association of Nova Scotia (MANS), New Brunswick Multicultural Council, PEI Association for Newcomers, Multicultural Association for the Greater Moncton Area, Association for New Canadians (ANC) of Newfoundland, Canadian Council for Refugees (CCR), Halifax Immigrant Learning Centre (HILC), YMCA Newcomer Service.

Le Centre Métropolis Atlantique tient à remercier chaleureusement les organismes suivants pour leur soutien:

Nos partenaires fédéraux:

Citoyenneté et immigration Canada, Intégration-net, Patrimoine Canada, le Conseil de Recherche des Sciences Humaines du Canada (CRSH), Agence de promotion économique de Canada Atlantique (APECA), le Conseil Économique des Provinces atlantiques (CEPA), le Secrétariat rural, le Statut de la femme du Canada, Statistique Canada, et Ressources humaines et développement social (RHDS).

Nos partenaires académiques - les trois universités à la direction:

Saint Mary's University, Dalhousie University et l'Université de Moncton.

Nos partenaires communautaires:

L'Association multiculturelle de Nouvelle-Écosse, Le Conseil multiculturel du Nouveau-Brunswick, L'Association multiculturelle du Grand Moncton, Association métropolitaine pour l'établissement des immigrants, PEI Association for Newcomers, L'association des nouveaux canadiens de Terre-Neuve, Conseil canadien pour le réfugiés, Halifax Immigrant Learning Centre, YMCA Newcomer service.

The Health Behaviours of Immigrants and Native-Born People in Canada

James Ted McDonald
University of New Brunswick

ABSTRACT:

This paper analyzes the incidence of participation in various activities generally expected to have an impact on current and future physical health. Attention is focused on the incidence of these activities among immigrant and minority groups compared to native-born white Canadians. Immigrants generally exhibit significantly lower rates of alcohol consumption, binge drinking, and daily smoking but also lower participation in vigorous physical activity and consumption of fruit and vegetables. Differences are particularly pronounced for immigrants from Asia and Africa, and this is true for both men and women. For most immigrant men, alcohol consumption and smoking both increase with years in Canada, *ceteris paribus*, and in the case of immigrants from Europe and the USA, reach native-born white levels after between 10-20 years in Canada.

For other immigrant men, the incidence of alcohol consumption remains low even for long-term residents of Canada. Interestingly, there is no significant change with years-since-migration in any of the health behaviours for immigrant women. Canadian born members of visible minorities also display significantly lower rates of alcohol consumption, smoking and (for women) vigorous physical activity than native-born whites, although these rates were still higher than for immigrants from Asia and Africa. Finally, native-born white lifestyle choices are found to exert a significant positive influence on the behaviours of immigrants and native-born minorities who are residents of the same province.

Keywords: Immigrants, Health, Acculturation, Smoking, Alcohol

1. Introduction

It is now generally accepted that new immigrants to Canada and other developed countries such as Australia and the USA enjoy significant health advantages relative to comparable native-born populations in these countries. The health 'gap' enjoyed by recent immigrants is present even though a majority of these immigrants come from developing countries where mortality and morbidity indicators are worse than in Canada. The gap is also not explained by differences in observable socio-economic factors such as education and income. Equally notable is the finding that the health gap narrows significantly with additional years in the new country, suggesting that immigrants' health is deteriorating over time relative to their native-born peers. This pattern in health outcomes experienced by immigrants to Canada and other countries – an initial health gap followed by a worsening of health and convergence towards native-born levels – has come to be known as the 'healthy immigrant effect' (HIE).¹

A range of possible explanations for the HIE have been suggested in the literature. The initial health gap has been attributed to healthier behaviours of new immigrants prior to migration, to health screening by immigration officers, and to immigrant self-selection whereby the healthiest and wealthiest individuals

¹ For Canada, see Perez, 2002, Newbold and Danforth, 2003, Deri, 2004, and McDonald and Kennedy, 2004. Biddle, Kennedy and McDonald (2005) and Kennedy and McDonald (2005) document a healthy immigrant effect for immigrants to Australia, while Antecol and Bedard (2005) and Jasso et.al. (2004) do so for immigrants to the US. The evidence for the HIE is not unanimous however, and has been found to be sensitive to how physical health is measured. McDonald and Kennedy (2004) and Newbold (2005) find mixed evidence for the HIE in terms of self-assessed health status and the probability that an individual rates his or her health as 'fair' or 'poor'.

are the people most likely to migrate. Similarly, the decline in health with years in Canada has been attributed to persistent barriers to access of health services, improved use of diagnostic services, environmental factors, and the adoption of native-born behaviours relevant to health. However, there has been relatively little formal research that has investigated what actually underpins the healthy immigrant effect.

Understanding the factors that give rise to the HIE is an issue of great interest and importance for policymakers and health practitioners. The health of a country's immigrants figures prominently in the direct costs borne by the citizens of that country through public funding of the health system. Just as importantly, an immigrant's health will substantially affect the process through which he or she adjusts to the labour market and contributes to the economy of the new country of residence. Further, the determination of factors that contribute to good health at migration and the subsequent deterioration of immigrant health over time can yield valuable lessons about how the health and well-being of all of the recipient country's residents could be improved.

The objective of this paper is to provide new insights into some of the factors that might underpin the apparent deterioration in immigrants' physical health with years in Canada on arrival in their new country and over time, by documenting and analyzing the incidence of a range of behaviours that are strongly associated with current and future health. These measures span a range of personal choices, including regular alcohol consumption, binge drinking, daily smoking, physical activity, and fruit and vegetable consumption. The extent to

which immigrant health behaviours display similar patterns to health outcomes with additional years in Canada will provide some evidence about the validity of the acculturation hypothesis as an explanation for the healthy immigrant effect. That is, if health behaviours converge to native-born levels with additional years in Canada, it seems reasonable to think that it is changing personal behaviours that are contributing to changes in health outcomes.²

2. Literature Review

An often-cited explanation for the healthy immigrant effect relates to the adoption of destination-country habits and lifestyles that are associated with poor health. Favorable habits and behaviours in the home country prior to migration, such as physically active lifestyles and diets low in fat, lead to new immigrants who are relatively healthier than the average person in the recipient country. Thus, immigrants from developing countries who migrate to a developed country such as Canada enjoy ‘the best of both worlds’ (Powles, 1990, Khlat and Darmon, 2003) – both the favorable habits of their country of origin and the efficiency of the health care system in the host country.

This theory also leads to an explanation for why the health advantage is lost with years in the host country. With the influence of the majority native-born lifestyle, immigrants gradually adopt ‘Western’ habits and lifestyle in terms of

² A companion paper (McDonald and Kennedy, 2005) examines the incidence of overweight and obesity among immigrant and native-born Canadians. These measures are intermediate outcomes in the underlying relationship between current health behaviours and future health outcomes since they will reflect in part past and current choices about diet and physical activity.

activity levels, diet, and consumption of alcohol and tobacco - a process referred to as acculturation. There is a large body of literature on acculturation and health outcomes among immigrants and minority groups (see early work by Marmot and Syme, 1976, and more recent surveys by Beiser *et.al.*, 1997, and Salant and Lauderdale, 2003).³

One outcome in some of the research on the healthy immigrant effect is that the inclusion of variables reflecting health behaviours as explanatory variables does not appear to have a large effect on the magnitude of the initial health gap or on the convergence in health to native born levels (for Canada, see e.g., Perez, 2002 and Ng *et.al.*, 2005). The implication is that to the extent immigrants' health behaviour is changing with years in the new country, these changes are not driving the convergence in health outcomes. However, there is an obvious endogeneity problem in that contemporaneous health behaviours and health outcomes are likely to be jointly determined, and may even reflect reverse causality – if for example, poor health forces changes in health behaviours such as quitting smoking or losing weight. Further, changes in health behaviours are more likely to impact on future health outcomes than current health outcomes (though there are some exceptions, for example, the relatively rapid impact of excess weight on the incidence of hypertension).

³ The maintenance of beneficial health behaviours after migration to another country is often cited as an explanation for the persistence of better health among some minority groups. This includes the so-called 'Hispanic paradox' - when compared to non-Hispanic Whites, Hispanics in the US are found to have lower all-cause mortality rates although they are also poorer and less educated. Khlal and Darmon (2003) cite similar results for Mediterranean immigrants to France and Germany.

While significant differences in health behaviours across immigrant and ethnic groups in Canada have been noted elsewhere (e.g., Millar, 1992, Chen et.al., 1996, Perez, 2002, Maclean et.al., 2004, Ng. et.al., 2005), evidence of convergence in these health behaviours to native-born levels is limited.⁴ Two recent studies published by Statistics Canada (Perez 2002, Ng et.al., 2005) note only mixed evidence of convergence in health behaviours for smoking, inactivity, and excess weight. However, Perez's paper relies on only a single cross section of data, so that years since migration (denoted YSM) effects cannot be identified separately from arrival period unobserved effects. Ng et.al.'s paper estimates transition models using the longitudinal component of the National Population Health Survey (NPHS) but the small sample size for some immigrant groups limits the range of specifications that can be estimated. Some support for the acculturation hypothesis in Canada is found by McDonald and Kennedy (2005a). They use pooled NPHS and Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) cross-sectional data and find that the probability of being overweight or (for women) obese is lower for most new immigrants than for comparable native-born Canadians, but increases gradually with additional years in Canada.

For the US, Antecol and Bedard (2005) analyze pooled cross-sectional data from the National Health Interview Surveys (NHIS) and find that the incidence of overweight and obesity among recent immigrants converges slowly

⁴ There is also a body of literature that examines the health behaviours of particular immigrant groups within specific Canadian communities. For example, Palacios and Sheps (1992) study health behaviours among the Hispanic community living in Vancouver and find that the female Hispanic community has lower rates of alcohol use and tobacco use than the Canadian population. Li and Rosenblood (1994) analyze the drinking behaviour of Chinese college students, and find that the number of Caucasian friends a Chinese student has exerts a significant effect on drinking behaviour.

to native-born levels. Singh and Siahpush (2002) also analyze pooled data from the NHIS and find that recent immigrants' incidence of smoking and obesity are both significantly lower than for comparable native-born people but increase with the length of residence in the US. Other authors attempt to capture the extent of acculturation more directly and find greater acculturation significantly increases the incidence of certain health behaviours. For example, Landrine et al (1994) analyze a sample of 4375 US adolescents and measure the degree of acculturation among Hispanics according to whether English is the usual language spoken at home. They find that acculturated Hispanics show smoking rates similar to those of whites, while less acculturated Hispanics show significantly lower smoking rates that are similar to those of blacks and Asians.

3. Empirical Methodology

The basic approach used here follows McDonald and Kennedy (2004, 2005), who use the 'population health' perspective that defines the major determinants of health status to be cultural, social and economic factors rather than medical care inputs and utilization *per se* (Frank, 1995, Dunn and Dyck, 2000). Each dependent variable is expressed as a function of a set of demographic and socio-economic variables including age, education level, marital status, presence of young and school-aged children, an indicator for home ownership, type of dwelling, plus an indicator for receipt of dividend and

interest income.⁵ Also included are a set of provincial indicator variables, and indicator variables for whether the individual resides in a Census Metropolitan Area (CMA) or in a rural area.

Immigrant characteristics are measured by a set of three YSM indicator variables, for 1-10 years in Canada, 11-20 years in Canada, and 21+ years in Canada. It is important to note that the composition of immigrant inflows to Canada has changed markedly over time, particularly in terms of region of origin and visa category. Since it is changes in health behaviours with years in Canada that are of most interest, it is important to ensure that these compositional changes do not give rise to misleading inferences about changes in the health behaviours of particular immigrant groups with years in Canada. To this end, two sets of indicator variables are also included in the regression equations: 1) controls for region of origin (US, UK and Ireland, Oceania, Western Europe, Southern Europe, Eastern Europe, Russia, Western Asia/Middle East, Africa, South Asia, East Asia, Southeast Asia, Central America and South America); and 2) controls for year of arrival in Canada (five-year arrival cohorts from 1951-55 to 1996-2000 inclusive plus an indicator for immigrants arriving between 2001 and 2003).

In order to allow for additional flexibility in the econometric specification, the YSM terms are allowed to vary by broad region of origin through their interaction with indicator variables for immigrants from English-speaking

⁵ Housing and income category variables as well as education variables are included as proxies for socio-economic status. Current personal income is available in the data but as noted by Ettner (1996) and others, it is likely to be an endogenous determinant of health outcomes and health behaviours.

countries (UK and Ireland, USA, Australia and NZ) – hereafter denoted ESB, non-English speaking European countries – hereafter denoted NESB-Europe, and non-English speaking non-European countries – hereafter denoted NESB-other. Thus, changes in health behaviours with years in Canada are allowed to vary by broad ethnic/language background.

A wide variety of consistently defined measures of health behaviours are available in the NPHS and CCHS data. The following set of five measures are intended to capture a range of health behaviours that together provide some sense of the extent to which a particular individual is engaging in a healthy lifestyle. These measures are 1) is the person a weekly drinker, 2) has the person binged on alcohol in the last month (defined to be consumption of five or more drinks in one sitting), 3) is the person a daily smoker, 4) has the person engaged in vigorous physical activity in the last week, and 5) does the person typically consume 5 or more servings of fruit and vegetables per day. Each is defined as a binary variable, and estimation is via Logit.

The data used in the paper are drawn from the 1996 wave of the NPHS and the 2000-01 and 2002-03 waves of the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS). The NPHS and CCHS are both population-based surveys that are very comparable in terms of survey design and collection (although the NPHS collects information on people of all ages, while the CCHS is limited to people aged 12 years or older). Definitions of survey questions and response categories are also

almost identical between the surveys.⁶ The sample is restricted to adults aged 20 to 65 inclusive. Age effects are captured through a simple quadratic in age, although the robustness of the results are evaluated using a range of more flexible specifications for age.

One extension to the primary analysis arises from the fact that health behaviours vary significantly across Canada's provinces, even after controlling for differences in socio-economic and demographic characteristics. Differences across provinces can arise for many reasons not controlled for in the regressions – urban infrastructure (availability of alcohol, fresh food, proximity of walking trails), price differences, weather and geographical amenities, as well as provincial public policy (for example, regulation of the sale and consumption of alcohol and tobacco, and the direct promotion of healthier lifestyles through bans on the consumption of soft drinks in schools). As well, cultural differences across Canada's provinces in terms of attitudes to health and lifestyle may be reflected in observed differences. While the health behaviours of immigrants to Canada should reflect the same province-specific factors with regard to urbanization, infrastructure and public policy, it seems logical to think that if there is a process of acculturation occurring, the behavioural choices of immigrants could also be affected by the cultural differences in the majority native-born population across provinces.

To test the influence of majority health behaviour on minority and immigrant choices, additional variables are included in the regressions that

⁶ See McDonald and Kennedy (2004) for further discussion of the comparability of these two data surveys.

measure the incidence of the relevant health behaviour of the gender-specific white native-born population in each Canadian province.⁷ These variables are set equal to zero for white native-born Canadians. The province indicator variables in the main specification will control for the presence of region-specific factors (such as those mentioned above) that affect the health behaviours of all residents. However, to the extent that these factors impact on minority groups and immigrants differently, additional interactions of the province indicator variables and the indicator variables for visible minority status and for immigrant status (ESB, NESB-Europe, NESB-other are also included. Thus it is changes over time in the incidence of health behaviours of the majority population that allow the impact of majority health behaviours on minorities and immigrants to be identified.⁸

4. Results

Table 1a gives the incidence of the various health behaviours for male subpopulations defined by immigrant status and visible minority status. From the top row of Table 1a, 56.3% of Canadian-born white men drink alcohol weekly, while 29.4% have drunk five or more drinks in one sitting (bingeing) at least once in the past month. As well, 28.6% of native-born white men smoke daily, 34.1% have engaged in at least 15 minutes of vigorous exercise once or more in the

⁷ For sample size considerations, the Canadian provinces are aggregated into six regions: Atlantic, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, Alberta and BC.

⁸ Also included are a set of interaction terms of the province indicators with indicators for survey year. These are included to control for the effects of province-specific changes over time (such as a change in tobacco legislation) that would affect *all* residents of the province.

past week, and 28.9% typically consume five or more servings of fruit and vegetables daily. Native-born men who are a visible minority on average lead healthier lifestyles: they consume less alcohol and tobacco, and are more active and have more balanced diets.⁹

In the lower part of the table, it can clearly be seen that there are substantial differences between immigrants and native-born men, and among immigrants from different regions of origin. ESB immigrants are more likely than native-born white men to be weekly drinkers and are less likely to be binge drinkers or daily smokers. Non-European NESB immigrants are much less likely to drink alcohol, to binge drink, and to smoke daily, while European NESB immigrants are about as likely as white native-born men to drink and smoke but are less likely to be physically active and more likely to consume the recommended amount of fruit and vegetables. The incidence of these health behaviours also varies by years since migration, although there are relatively few instances of a clear pattern of convergence with YSM to native-born levels. ESB immigrants show an upward trend in smoking incidence and NESB-other immigrants show an upward trend in the incidence of drinking weekly but in neither case does the relevant figure come close to the comparable figure for native-born men, even for immigrants resident in Canada more than 20 years. Other health behaviours show little change with YSM – for example, the

⁹ Since the incidence of overweight and obesity is analyzed in detail in McDonald and Kennedy, 2005a, the incidence of these conditions is not discussed here. However, it is useful to note that the main results are generally consistent with what is reported here: specifically, most visible minority and immigrant groups have significantly lower rates of excess weight.

incidence of binge drinking among NESB-other men remains below 10% and the rate of daily smoking remains below 17%.

Comparable results are presented for women in Table 1b. Compared to men, women are less likely to drink, to binge, and to smoke, and are more likely to be physically active and to eat 5+ fruit and vegetables. Among women, non-ESB immigrants and visible minority groups are generally less likely than Canadian born white women to drink alcohol, to binge, and to smoke, while ESB immigrants are more likely to drink, less likely to smoke, and more likely to be physically active. As with immigrant men, there is little consistent evidence of convergence with years in Canada. NESB immigrants display modest increases in weekly alcohol consumption with YSM but non-Europeans still have significantly lower rates even after more than 20 years in Canada. Smoking incidence increases with YSM for both ESB and NESB-other immigrants but in neither case comes close to levels for native-born white women.

Of course, these proportions are not adjusted for differences in demographic and socio-economic characteristics that are important determinants of health behaviours. As well, the decomposition by YSM does not control for changes in the composition of the immigrant inflows to Canada over time. The latter point in particular may obscure convergence patterns with YSM.

Regression results for the key variables of interest – the YSM terms - are presented in log-odds form for men in Table 2a and for women in Table 2b. Log-odds ratios are interpreted as the ratio of the odds of a person with a particular

characteristic engaging in the behaviour to the odds of a person without the particular characteristic engaging in the behaviour. Thus, a log-odds ratio greater than 1 means that the other things equal, a Canadian-born visible minority male is only 48.8% as likely as a Canadian-born white male (the default group) to drink alcohol weekly. The YSM terms for 1-10 years in Canada and 11-20 years in Canada are relative to the default group - those individuals in Canada for more than 20 years - as captured by the terms for the overall immigrant groups (ESB, NESB-Europe, and NESB-other). Thus, the YSM terms reflect the extent to which health behaviours for recently arrived immigrant groups change with years since migration, while the latter variables reflect long-term differences in the health behaviours of immigrants in Canada for at least 21 years relative to comparable native-born Canadians.

For men, both groups of NESB immigrants show significant increases with YSM in the incidence of weekly alcohol consumption and binge drinking, while all three immigrant groups show significant increases with YSM in the incidence of daily smoking. For European immigrants, there is convergence to native-born levels, indicated by log-odds ratios for the long-term immigrants that are not significantly different from one. This is also true for ESB immigrants and smoking, although for alcohol consumption there are no significant differences between any ESB immigrants and the native-born. For NESB-other immigrants, although there is convergence towards native-born levels in the incidence of weekly and binge drinking, the incidence of these activities is still markedly below those of

comparable native-born men even after more than 20 years in Canada. For vigorous physical activity, all of the immigrant groups have significantly lower rates of activity than native-born men, and there is no evidence of any changes with YSM. For fruit and vegetable consumption, there is a lot of variation in the estimated log-odds ratios but no significant differences relative to the native-born. Finally, it is also notable that for native-born members of a visible minority, rates of alcohol consumption and smoking are all significantly lower than for native-born white men, but there are no differences in activity or fruit/vegetable consumption.

For women, the main result is that unlike the case for men, there is no evidence of convergence to native-born levels with YSM for any activity for any group of immigrants. In fact, for NESB-Europeans there are no significant differences in any measure of health behaviour between immigrants and native-born women. For NESB-other immigrants, rates of alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity and fruit/vegetable consumption are all consistently lower than for native-born women and while the patterns in the YSM terms for weekly drinking and daily smoking show increasing incidence with YSM, these changes are not statistically significant. The extremely low rates of alcohol and tobacco consumption, even for immigrant women in Canada 21 years or more, are striking. Interestingly, a similar pattern is found for native-born women who are members of a visible minority – for each measure of health behaviours, the

incidence is markedly lower than for comparable native-born white women (though they are still higher than for NESB-other immigrants).

The YSM results are obtained from regressions that also include a detailed set of immigrant controls for region of birth and year of arrival in Canada. To give some sense of the importance of these factors, Tables 3a and 3b report log-odds ratios for the region of birth controls. In each case, the estimate is relative to the base region of origin for each broad immigrant group – particular ESB regions are measured against the default group of immigrants from the UK, NESB-Europe regions are measured against immigrants from Western Europe, and NESB-other regions are measured against immigrants from East Asia (mainly China). In general, the tables show that within these broad immigrant groups, there is still significant variation by region of origin. For example, immigrants from the Americas (excluding the US) are more likely to drink, to be active, and to consume fruit/vegetables, but are less likely to smoke when compared to East Asians. Immigrants from Southeast Asia and Africa are less likely to smoke but are more likely to be active and to consume fruit/vegetables. Americans are less likely than British immigrants to drink and are also more likely to be active and to consume fruit/vegetables.¹⁰

Results for socio-economic and demographic variables are largely as expected. Tables 4a and 4b present abbreviated results that indicate which

¹⁰ Though not reported here, the results show the presence of significant arrival cohort effects for immigrant men in terms of smoking incidence, with more recent arrivals more likely to smoke, *ceteris paribus*. In contrast, cohort effects for immigrant women show that it is the earlier arrivals that are more likely to smoke. There are also cohort effects for activity, with earlier arrivals of both genders significantly more likely to be physically active than more recent arrivals.

variables are significant determinants of health behaviours and the direction of effect. Education is an important determinant, with more educated people more likely to drink weekly, to be active and to eat fruit/vegetables but less likely to binge drink or smoke. As well, receipt of dividend income has similar effects. Marriage and the presence of children reduce the incidence of alcohol consumption and smoking, while being widowed, separated or divorced increases the incidence of binge drinking for men and smoking for both genders. Residing in a census metropolitan area is associated with more weekly drinking and physical activity, but less smoking.

The final set of control variables from the main regressions illustrate the presence of significant provincial variation for men and women, even after controlling for demographic and socio-economic differences, residence in a CMA, other urban, or rural area, and the presence of immigrants and visible minorities. Tables 5a and 5b present log-odds ratios by province, for men and women respectively. (Due to sample size limitations, the Atlantic provinces are grouped together into 'Atlantic', and Manitoba and Saskatchewan are grouped together into 'Prairies'. In each case, the base group is Ontario. For men, Quebec residents are more likely to drink weekly but less likely to binge drink, but the opposite is true for residents of Atlantic Canada and the Prairies. Atlantic and Prairie residents are also significantly less likely to be physically active and to consume fruit/vegetables. Residents of BC are less likely to smoke but more

likely to be active and to consume fruit/vegetables. Similar patterns are found for women, although women from Quebec are more likely to be daily smokers.

The significant variation in health behaviours across provinces that is present after controlling for socio-economic and demographic variables raises the question about the possible influence that the health behaviours of the native-born residents of particular provinces exert on the health behaviours of the immigrants in those provinces.¹¹ Though not reported, each specification begins with the provincial majority health behaviour measure interacted with indicators for native-born visible minority groups and the three main groups of immigrants identified earlier: ESB, NESB-Europe and NESB-other. Thus, separate effects of majority white health behaviours on minority and immigrant health are allowed for each group. It is important to note that these results are obtained in regression specifications that also allow for provincial fixed effects for visible minority groups and the various groups of immigrants as well as for the majority white population.

Table 6 reports selected results for these provincial health behaviour measures after narrowing the flexible specification to a more parsimonious one. For both men and women, the results indicate significant and positive effects on the incidence of weekly drinking and binge drinking among immigrants and visible minority groups. There are also significant results for the incidence of vigorous exercise but only for ESB and NESB-Europe men. To illustrate the

¹¹ In McDonald and Kennedy (2005a), attention focused on the influence that obesity rates among individuals of particular ethnic minority groups had on individuals that belonged to the same minority groups, a related but distinct concept.

interpretation of the log-odds ratios reported, consider the result of 1.028 for binge drinking among men. The implication of this result is that a one percentage point increase in the provincial average incidence of binge drinking among majority white men is associated with a 2.8% increase in the incidence of binge drinking among visible minority and immigrant men. Assuming an incidence of binge drinking of 20% for these groups implies around a 0.6 percentage point increase in the incidence of binge drinking (2.8% of 20%). Overall, the results suggest that even after controlling for unobserved factors that affect the health behaviours of all provincial residents in general, and immigrant and minority residents in particular, health behaviours of the majority white population themselves also affect some immigrants' and minority groups' choices about the consumption of alcohol, binge drinking in particular.

5. Discussion

This paper has identified significant differences between immigrants and native-born Canadians in lifestyle choices that have important implications for current and future health. Specifically, a number of immigrant groups exhibit significantly lower rates of alcohol consumption, binge drinking, and daily smoking but also lower participation in vigorous physical activity and consumption of fruit and vegetables. Differences are particularly pronounced for NESB immigrants from outside of Europe, and this is true for both men and women. For most immigrant men, alcohol consumption and smoking both

increase with years in Canada, *ceteris paribus*, and in the case of ESB and NESB-Europe immigrants, reach native-born white levels after between 10-20 years in Canada. For NESB-other immigrant men, the incidence of alcohol consumption remains low even for long-term residents of Canada. Interestingly, there is no significant change with years-since-migration in any of the health behaviours for immigrant women. What is also notable is that Canadian born members of visible minorities also display significantly lower rates of alcohol consumption, smoking and (for women) vigorous physical activity than native-born whites, although these rates were still higher than long-term resident NESB-other immigrants. Evidence is also found that native-born white lifestyle choices exert a significant influence on the behaviours of immigrants and native-born minorities who are residents of the same province.

These results are important for two reasons. First, in terms of determining what underpins the healthy immigrant effect, the results provide some evidence that health behaviours converge over time to comparable native-born levels. The clearest evidence is for the incidence of daily smoking among immigrant men, which shows convergence to native-born rates of smoking after 20 years in Canada. Increased smoking may well underpin at least part of the worsening physical health with years in Canada exhibited by immigrant men (e.g., in terms of specific chronic conditions that respond fairly quickly to changes in smoking behaviour, such as higher blood pressure and bronchitis and emphysema). For women, smoking incidence contributes little to the explanation for the worsening

health with years in Canada, although another explanation may well relate to the consistently lower rates of vigorous physical activity and consumption of fruit and vegetables that characterize immigrant women from NESB regions outside of Europe.

Second, the results indicate that the health behaviours of immigrants and minority groups are sensitive to the health behaviours of white native-born men and women, at least with regard to alcohol consumption, and this gives additional support that a process of acculturation does occur. However, the persistent differences in the health behaviours among some immigrants and visible minority groups suggests that maintenance of ethnic or home-country attitudes and beliefs persists in some dimensions even if the individual has been in Canada for many years or was born in Canada. This is also supported by the analysis of immigrant and visible minority overweight and obesity in McDonald and Kennedy (2005), who find slow rates of change with years in Canada, and persistently lower rates of excess weight for some immigrant groups even after many years in Canada.

Finally, there is much discussion in the literature about the possibility that a lack of acculturation with regard to the development of English language skills, Canadian-specific human capital, or labour market contacts outside of ethnic enclaves may inhibit the labour market adjustment of immigrants and result in higher rates of unemployment, lower earnings and greater reliance on social assistance. One implication of the paper is that the process of social adjustment

is multi-faceted and complex, and the effects of inhibited acculturation are not necessarily all bad. While maintaining home country mores, beliefs and networks may inhibit labour market adjustment, there may well be positive benefits as well, in terms of lifestyle choices that are associated with better current and future health.

References

- Abraido-Lanza, A. B. Dohrenwend, D. Ng-Mak and J. Turner (1999) 'The Latino mortality paradox: a test of the 'salmon-bias' and healthy migrant hypotheses', *American Journal of Public Health*, 89, 1543-48.
- Antecol, H. and K. Bedard (2005) '[Unhealthy Assimilation: Why Do Immigrants Converge to American Health Status Levels?](#),' [IZA Discussion Paper 1654](#), Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Beiser, M., R. Dion, A. Gotowiec, I. Hyman, and N. Vu (1997) *Immigration, Acculturation and Health*. Final Report, National Health Research and Development Program.
- Biddle, N., S. Kennedy and J.T. McDonald (2004) 'Health assimilation patterns among Australian immigrants', mimeo.
- Cairney, J. and T. Ostbye (1999) 'Time Since Immigration and Excess Body Weight' *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 90(2), 120-124.
- Decker, S. and D. Remler (2004) 'How Much Might Universal Health Insurance Reduce Socioeconomic Disparities in Health? A Comparison of the US and Canada', NBER working paper w10715.
- Deri, C. (2005) 'Social Networks and Health Service Utilization', *Journal of Health Economics*, forthcoming.
- Dunn, J. and I. Dyck (2000) 'Social Determinants of Health in Canada's Immigrant Population: Results from the National Population Health Survey', *Social Science and Medicine*, 51, 1573-1593.
- Frank, J. (1995) 'Why Population Health?', *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 86(3), 162-64.
- Gee, E., K. Kobayashi and S. Prus (2003) 'Examining the "Healthy Immigrant Effect" in later life: Findings from the Canadian Community Health Survey', SEDAP Research Paper #98, McMaster University.
- Kennedy, S. and J.T. McDonald (2005) 'Immigrant health in the period after arrival in Australia: evidence from the LSIA', in D. Cobb-Clark and S. Khoo (eds.) *Public Policy and Immigrant Settlement in Australia*. Edward Elgar, in press.
- Khlat, M., and N. Darmon (2003) 'Is there a Mediterranean migrants mortality paradox in Europe?' (letter to the editor), *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 32, 1115-1118.
- Jasso, G., D. Massey, M. Rosenzweig, and J. Smith (2004) 'Immigrant Health – Selectivity and Acculturation', Chapter 7 in Anderson, Bulatao and Cohen (eds) *Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Late Life*, Committee on Population, National Research Council, Washington DC: The National Academies Press.
- Juon, H-S, C. Seung-Lee, and A. Klassen (2003) 'Predictors of regular Pap smears among Korean-American women', *Preventative Medicine*, 37: 585-592.
- Laroche, M. (2000) 'Health Status and Health Services Utilization of Canada's

- Immigrant and Non-Immigrant Populations', *Canadian Public Policy*, 26(2), 51-75.
- Maclean, H. K. Glynn, Z. Cao and D. Ansara (2004) 'Personal health practices', *BMC Women's Health*, 4(suppl 1):S4, pp. 1-15.
- Marmot, M. and S.L. Syme (1976) 'Acculturation and coronary heart disease in Japanese-Americans', *Journal of Epidemiology*, 103(3), 225-47.
- McDonald, J.T. and S. Kennedy (2004) 'Insights into the healthy immigrant effect: health status and health service use of immigrants to Canada', *Social Science and Medicine* 59, 1613-1627.
- McDonald, J.T. and S. Kennedy (2005a) 'Is migration to Canada associated with unhealthy weight gain? Overweight and obesity among Canada's immigrants', *Social Science and Medicine*, in press.
- McDonald, J.T. and S. Kennedy (2005b) 'Ethnicity, Immigration and Cancer Screening: evidence for Canadian women', unpublished paper.
- Newbold, K. B. (2005) 'Self-rated health within the Canadian immigrant population: risk and the healthy immigrant effect', *Social Science & Medicine*, 60(6), 1359-1370.
- Newbold, K. B. and J. Danforth (2003) 'Health status and Canada's immigrant population', *Social Science and Medicine*, 57(10), 1981-95.
- Ng, E., R. Wilkins, F. Gendron and J-M. Berthelot (2005) 'Dynamics of Immigrants' Health in Canada: Evidence from the National Population Health Survey', Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-618.
- Perez, C. (2002) 'Health Status and Health Behaviour Among Immigrants' *Health Reports* (Supplement) volume 13.
- Powles, J. (1990) 'The best of both worlds: attempting to explain the persisting low mortality of Greek migrants to Australia', in J. Caldwell, S. Findlay, P. Caldwell and G. Santow (eds.) *What we know about health transition: the cultural, social and behavioural determinants of Health*, Canberra: Health Transition Center.
- Raja Jones, H. (1999) 'Breast screening and ethnic minority women: a literature review', *British Journal of Nursing*, 8(19): 1284-1288.
- Razum, O., H. Zeeb, S. Akgun and S. Yilmaz (1998) 'Low overall mortality of Turkish residents in Germany persists and extends into a second generation: merely a healthy migrant effect?', *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 3(4), 297-303.
- Razum, O., H. Zeeb, and S. Rohrmann (2000) 'The "healthy immigrant effect" – not merely a fallacy of inaccurate denominator figures' (letter to the editor), *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 29, 191-192.
- Razum, O., and D. Twardella (2002) 'Towards and explanation for a paradoxically low mortality among recent immigrants' *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 7(1), 4-10.
- Salant, T., and D. Lauderdale (2003) 'Measuring culture: a critical review of acculturation and health in Asian immigrant populations', *Social Science and Medicine*, 57, 71-90.

Table 1a: Proportion of Adult men aged 20-65 engaging in specific activities, by years since migration and broad region of origin

		Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Native-born						
	White	0.563	0.294	0.286	0.341	0.289
	Visible minority	0.409	0.242	0.195	0.504	0.295
Immigrants						
ESB						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.615	0.288	0.143	0.380	0.307
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.623	0.219	0.178	0.432	0.334
	YSM 21+ yrs	0.615	0.239	0.186	0.365	0.332
NESB –Europe						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.499	0.179	0.280	0.306	0.407
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.606	0.257	0.258	0.251	0.425
	YSM 21+ yrs	0.594	0.162	0.227	0.274	0.376
NESB – Other						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.233	0.078	0.168	0.306	0.294
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.289	0.098	0.167	0.341	0.307
	YSM 21+ yrs	0.353	0.092	0.161	0.344	0.331

Table 1b: Proportion of Adult women aged 20-65 engaging in specific activities, by years since migration and broad region of origin

		Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Native-born						
	White	0.345	0.106	0.252	0.348	0.436
	Visible minority	0.245	0.085	0.137	0.415	0.398
Immigrants						
ESB						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.432	0.112	0.131	0.407	0.426
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.376	0.088	0.181	0.390	0.471
	YSM 21+ yrs	0.414	0.058	0.195	0.392	0.485
NESB –Europe						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.278	0.038	0.189	0.317	0.515
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.309	0.045	0.175	0.379	0.467

YSM 21+ yrs	0.330	0.037	0.158	0.302	0.504

NESB – Other					
YSM 1-10 yrs	0.065	0.013	0.034	0.264	0.377
YSM 11-20 yrs	0.095	0.022	0.046	0.277	0.412
YSM 21+ yrs	0.146	0.020	0.073	0.324	0.420

Table 2a: Log-odds ratios for Adult men aged 20-65 engaging in specific activities: impact of years since migration by broad region of origin

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Native-born					
White	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	---	---	---	---	---
Visible minority	0.488*** (-7.38)	0.547*** (-5.77)	0.734*** (-2.92)	1.047 (.48)	0.863 (-1.35)
ESB					
YSM 1-10 yrs	0.906 (-.36)	0.874 (-.40)	0.290*** (-3.25)	1.061 (.21)	0.732 (-.93)
YSM 11-20 yrs	1.121 (.54)	0.664 (-1.61)	0.603* (-1.89)	1.508* (1.88)	0.705 (-1.11)
All ESB	1.128 (.66)	1.115 (.52)	1.210 (.80)	0.652** (-2.12)	1.158 (.62)

NESB – Europe					
YSM 1-10 yrs	0.763 (-1.05)	0.605 (-1.49)	0.489** (-2.19)	0.891 (-.42)	0.704 (-1.05)
YSM 11-20 yrs	1.261 (1.04)	1.215 (.73)	0.614 (-1.53)	0.948 (-.23)	0.941 (-.21)
All NESB – Europe	1.115 (.55)	1.133 (.54)	1.400 (1.28)	0.604** (-2.24)	1.401 (1.33)

NESB – Other					
YSM 1-10 yrs	0.575** (-2.48)	0.600* (-1.77)	0.351*** (-3.66)	1.043 (.18)	0.736 (-1.03)
YSM 11-20 yrs	0.797 (-1.28)	0.801 (-.99)	0.523*** (-2.76)	1.228 (1.07)	0.731 (-1.23)
All NESB – Other	0.281*** (-6.70)	0.269*** (-5.70)	1.026 (.66)	0.502*** (-3.68)	0.657 (-.95)

- denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Note: Shading indicates that the two YSM terms are significantly different from the all-immigrant indicator for the immigrants from the relevant region of origin. In other words, shading implies significant changes in the incidence of the particular health behaviour with additional years in Canada, *ceteris paribus*.

Table 2b: Log-odds ratios for Adult women aged 20-65 engaging in specific activities: impact of years since migration by broad region of origin

		Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Native-born						
	White	1.000 ---	1.000 ---	1.000 ---	1.000 ---	1.000 ---
	Visible minority	0.548*** (-4.69)	0.394*** (-5.47)	0.564*** (-4.26)	0.783** (-2.39)	0.843 (-1.17)
ESB						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	1.682 (1.56)	3.977** (2.27)	0.909 (-.23)	1.128 (.47)	1.215 (.63)
	YSM 11-20 yrs	1.143 (.58)	1.991* (1.76)	1.378 (1.08)	1.038 (.18)	1.184 (.65)
	All ESB	0.913 (-.41)	0.509 (-1.61)	0.520** (-2.06)	0.807 (-1.17)	0.871 (-.66)
NESB – Europe						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	1.098 (.26)	1.753 (.81)	1.730 (1.35)	0.940 (-.23)	1.393 (1.08)
	YSM 11-20 yrs	1.116 (.40)	1.288 (.57)	1.516 (1.23)	1.231 (.85)	1.052 (.19)
	All NESB – Europe	0.977 (-.10)	0.676 (-.90)	0.616 (-1.41)	0.818 (-.99)	0.995 (-.02)
NESB – Other						
	YSM 1-10 yrs	0.699 (-1.13)	1.059 (.09)	0.519 (-1.61)	0.926 (-.34)	1.551 (1.65)
	YSM 11-20 yrs	0.820 (-.71)	1.238 (.34)	0.820 (-.48)	0.899 (-.55)	1.411 (1.53)
	All NESB – Other	0.153*** (-7.44)	0.111*** (-3.73)	0.152*** (-5.12)	0.563*** (-2.85)	0.446*** (-3.18)

- denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Note: in no case are the YSM terms jointly significantly different from zero, implying that the incidence of each health behaviour do not change significantly with additional years in Canada.

Table 3a: Log-odds ratios for region of birth: Immigrant Men

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
ESB					
UK and Ireland	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
USA	0.667 ^{***}	0.712 ^{**}	0.940	1.057	1.691 ^{***}
Australia/NZ	1.282	1.281	0.837	2.697 ^{***}	2.525 ^{***}
NESB – Europe					
Western Europe	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Eastern Europe	0.737 ^{**}	0.736	0.946	0.988	1.147
Southern Europe	1.035	0.509 ^{***}	0.877	0.799	1.218
Russia	0.451 ^{***}	0.639	0.899	1.642 [*]	2.411 ^{***}
NESB - Other					
East Asia	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Middle East/Western Asia	1.483 ^{**}	1.446	1.139	1.091	1.535 ^{***}
South-east Asia	1.015	1.397 [*]	1.011	1.325 ^{**}	1.439 ^{**}
Southern Asia	1.163	0.825	0.343 ^{***}	1.162	1.789 ^{***}
Africa	1.028	0.769	0.540 ^{**}	1.394 ^{**}	1.866 ^{***}
Central America/Caribbean	1.933 ^{***}	1.611 ^{***}	0.507 ^{***}	2.168 ^{***}	2.006 ^{***}
South America	2.334 ^{***}	2.279 ^{***}	0.589 ^{**}	1.937 ^{***}	2.115 ^{***}

* denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Note: Log-odds are relative to the relevant base group region of origin indicated; thus, log-odds ratios that are significantly different from zero indicate that there are significant differences in the incidence of health behaviours within the broad region of origin (ESB, NESB-Europe, and NESB-other)

Table 3b: Log-odds ratios for region of birth: Immigrant Women

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
ESB					
UK and Ireland	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
USA	0.649 ^{***}	0.611 ^{**}	1.076	0.959	1.025
Australia/NZ	0.946	0.648	2.252	1.595	1.497
NESB - Europe					
Western Europe	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Eastern Europe	0.537 ^{***}	0.565 [*]	1.103	0.896	1.245
Southern Europe	0.656 ^{***}	0.319 ^{***}	0.411 ^{***}	0.636 ^{***}	0.961
Russia	0.615 [*]	0.095 ^{***}	0.269 ^{***}	0.744	1.240
NESB - Other					
East Asia	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Middle East/Western Asia	2.127 ^{***}	2.739 [*]	3.652 ^{***}	0.983	1.643 ^{***}
South-east Asia	0.935	1.226	0.965	0.941	1.397 ^{***}
Southern Asia	0.735	0.319 ^{**}	0.432 ^{**}	0.570 ^{***}	1.368 [*]
Africa	0.883	0.785	1.044	1.269	1.265
Central America/Caribbean	1.424 ^{**}	0.943	0.450	1.170	1.416 ^{***}
South America	2.263 ^{***}	1.367	1.484	1.216	1.956 ^{***}

* denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Note: Log-odds are relative to the relevant base group region of origin indicated; thus, log-odds ratios that are significantly different from zero indicate that there are significant differences in the incidence of health behaviours within the broad region of origin (ESB, NESB-Europe, and NESB-other)

**Table 4a: Summary of direction of effect for other explanatory variables:
Men**

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Age		–	+	–	–
Education					
Less than high school	–		+	–	–
Post-secondary-no degree			–		+
Bachelor's degree	+	–	–	+	+
Higher degree	+	–	–	+	+
Marital Status and kids					
Married	+	–	–	–	
WSD	+	+	+		–
Kids aged 5 or less	–	–		–	
Kids aged 12 or less	–	–	–	+	+
Language at home					
French	+			+	+
Other Language	–	–			+
Assets					
Received dividend income	+		–	+	+
Home ownership					
Population size of residence					
CMA	+		–	+	
Rural				–	

“+” indicates that the specific control variable significantly increases the incidence of the particular activity (at the 5% level), while “–” indicates that the variable significantly decreases the incidence of the particular activity (at the 5% level).

Note: the default group against which the effects of these variables are measured is as follows: a high school educated person who is single, no kids under age 13, speaks English at home, lives in a rental house in an urban area outside of a CMA.

**Table 4b: Summary of direction of effect for other explanatory variables:
Women**

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Age	+		+	-	-
Education					
Less than high school	-		+	-	-
Post-secondary-no degree	+	-	-	+	+
Bachelor's degree	+	-	-	+	+
Higher degree	+	-	-	+	+
Marital Status and kids					
Married		-	-	-	+
WSD			+	-	
Kids aged 5 or less	-	-		-	+
Kids aged 12 or less	-	-	-	+	+
Language at home					
French	+	-	-	+	+
Other Language	-	-	-	+	+
Assets					
Received dividend income	+	-	-	+	
Home ownership					
Population size of residence					
CMA	+		-	+	
Rural	-	-		-	

“+” indicates that the specific control variable significantly increases the incidence of the particular activity (at the 5% level), while “-” indicates that the variable significantly decreases the incidence of the particular activity (at the 5% level).

Note: the default group against which the effects of these variables are measured is as follows: a high school educated person who is single, no kids under age 13, speaks English at home, lives in a rental house in an urban area outside of a CMA.

Table 5a: Log-odds ratios by Canadian Region – Men (Ontario base group)

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Atlantic Canada	0.648 ^{***}	1.314 ^{***}	1.030	0.747 ^{***}	0.748 ^{***}
Quebec	1.134 ^{***}	0.899 ^{***}	1.040	0.731 ^{***}	1.001
Ontario	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Prairies	0.771 ^{***}	1.071 [*]	0.892 ^{***}	0.802 ^{***}	0.696 ^{***}
Alberta	0.880 ^{***}	0.978	0.981	0.983	0.776 ^{***}
BC	0.954	0.957	0.793 ^{***}	1.324 ^{***}	1.115 ^{***}

* denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Table 5b: Log-odds statistics by Canadian Region – Women (Ontario base group)

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Atlantic Canada	0.562 ^{***}	1.152 ^{***}	0.986	0.765 ^{***}	0.723 ^{***}
Quebec	1.158 ^{***}	0.920	1.282 ^{***}	0.716 ^{***}	1.085 ^{**}
Ontario	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Prairies	0.794 ^{***}	1.185 ^{***}	1.006	0.951	0.806 ^{***}
Alberta	0.814 ^{***}	1.005	1.003	1.121 ^{***}	0.915 ^{**}
BC	1.184 ^{***}	1.092	0.724 ^{***}	1.255 ^{***}	1.116 ^{***}

* denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Table 6: Log-odds results for the effect of province-specific white Canadian health behaviours on the health behaviours of immigrants and visible minority groups

	Weekly drinker	Binge drank (5+ drinks in one sitting) last month	Daily smoker	Vigorous physical activity last month	Usually eats 5+ fruit/veg per day
Men					
Cdn-born visible minority	1.032** (1.98)	1.028** (2.32)			
ESB		1.028** (2.32)		1.034** (2.25)	
NESB – Europe		1.028** (2.32)	0.952* (-1.81)	1.034** (2.25)	
NESB - Other	1.032** (1.98)	1.028** (2.32)			
Women					
Cdn-born visible minority		1.100*** (3.45)			
ESB					
NESB – Europe	1.037** (2.22)	1.100*** (3.45)			
NESB - Other	1.037** (2.22)	1.100*** (3.45)			

* denotes significantly different from 1.000 at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level.

Note: reported log-odds ratios are restricted to be constant across certain groups because such restrictions could not be rejected against more flexible alternative specifications that allowed for separate effects for each minority and immigrant group.

AMC Working Papers Series - Guidelines

• What are the AMC Working Papers?

The AMC's Working Papers Series is related to the broad mandate of the Metropolis Project. The Working Papers produced by the Atlantic Metropolis Centre are designed to: (1) speed up the dissemination of research results relevant to the interests and concerns of Metropolis researchers, policy-makers, NGOs; (2) allow for an avenue where Metropolis researchers in the Atlantic region can disseminate research and information specific to immigration, migration, integration and diversity in Atlantic Canada.

• Will these be considered "official" publications?

The inclusion of a manuscript in the Working Papers Series does not preclude, nor is it a substitute for its subsequent publication in a peer reviewed journal. In fact, we would encourage authors to submit such manuscripts for publication in professional journals (or edited books) as well.

• What subject content is acceptable?

The Working Paper Series welcomes research reports and theoretical discussions relevant to the mandate of the Metropolis Project, providing insight into the policy concerns not only of immigration and integration, but also ethnocultural diversity.

Examples of areas of research include: economic, political, cultural, and educational integration of immigrants, migrants and refugees; language; transnationalism; gender and/or immigrant women; ethnic, cultural, and religious diversity; multiculturalism; social and family networks; social discourses, attitudes and values; youth; identity; citizenship; temporary migration; justice and security; settlement programs and policy; health and well-being; and human rights.

• Who may submit papers?

Paper submissions derived from AMC research grants (pilot or strategic grant) projects, unpublished articles and conference papers are open to Metropolis researchers, policy-makers and service providers. Submissions from non-affiliates will be examined on a case-by-case basis.

• How do I submit a paper?

All submissions **must** include an electronic copy of the paper.

By post please send a hard copy of your paper and an electronic copy on disk or via email to:

Atlantic Metropolis Centre - ATTN: Laure Lafrance

5670 Spring Garden Road, Suite 509

Halifax NS B3J 1H6

By email please send to: lafrance.metropolis@ns.alianzinc.ca with a subject heading of:

Working Papers Series Submission

• Copyright

Copyright for papers accepted as AMC Working Papers remain with the author(s) who are free to publish their papers at any time. It is the responsibility of the authors to inform the AMC's Working Paper series Editors of any change in publication status.

• Official Languages

AMC researchers reserve the right to publish working papers in the language of their choice.

• What happens when I submit a paper?

The Atlantic Metropolis Centre will acknowledge receipt of the paper via email within 10 working days. The series editors (Laure Lafrance and the AMC Co-Directors) will review your submission to ensure that it falls within the mandate of the Atlantic Metropolis Centre's research mission and that it is properly referenced and documented. If these standards are met, the paper will then be referred to the appropriate Domain Leader for review and advice.

Once the review is completed the author will be contacted with the results.

**PLEASE refer to the AMC's website (<http://atlantic.metropolis.net>) for submission details and to obtain PDF copies of our Working Papers.

Centre Métropolis Atlantique - Série de documents de recherche Protocoles de sélection et de présentation

• En quoi consiste la *Série de documents de recherche* du Centre Métropolis Atlantique?

La publication de la *Série de documents de recherche* répond en fait aux objectifs généraux du Centre Métropolis Atlantique, en ce qu'elle favorise (1) la dissémination rapide de la recherche pertinente aux intérêts et aux besoins des intervenants académiques, gouvernementaux et communautaires affiliés au Centre, (2) et la création d'un espace de diffusion où les chercheurs rattachés au projet en Atlantique peuvent faire connaître leurs travaux et toute autre information pertinente à l'immigration et à la diversité culturelle en Atlantique.

• Ces textes peuvent-ils être considérés comme une publication finale et officielle?

L'inclusion d'un manuscrit dans la *Série de documents de recherche* ne remplace, ni n'exclut la publication d'une version finale de ce même manuscrit dans une revue à comité de lecture. D'ailleurs, la direction du Centre encourage tous les auteurs à soumettre les résultats de leurs recherches à des revues scientifiques, ou bien à les publier sous forme de monographie.

• Quels sont les problématiques et les types de recherche correspondant au profil de cette série?

La soumission de manuscrits pour la *Série de documents de recherche* s'adresse à tous les chercheurs dont les rapports de recherche et les réflexions théoriques portent sur les questions d'immigration, d'intégration et de diversité culturelle, conformément aux objectifs généraux du Projet Métropolis.

Parmi les domaines de recherche, soulignons entre autres: l'intégration économique, politique, culturelle et formative (éducation) des immigrants; les diverses problématiques migrantes; la question des réfugiés; celle de la langue et du transnationalisme; les problématiques touchant les genres et plus particulièrement les questions concernant la condition des femmes immigrantes; la diversité ethnique, culturelle, religieuse, le multiculturalisme; les réseaux sociaux et familiaux; les discours, les valeurs et les attitudes à l'égard des immigrants; les rapports entre la jeunesse, l'identité, la citoyenneté, la justice et l'immigration; les politiques et les programmes affectant l'intégration des immigrants, leur santé, leur bien-être, ainsi que leurs droits fondamentaux.

• Qui peut soumettre un manuscrit?

Quiconque ayant reçu une subvention de recherche Métropolis, (qu'il s'agisse d'une subvention de départ ou d'une subvention stratégique); les auteurs dont les articles n'ont pas encore fait l'objet d'une publication ou bien qui veulent soumettre les textes de communications, qu'elle aient été présentées par des collaborateurs académiques, communautaires ou gouvernementaux rattachés au Projet Métropolis. Les textes soumis par des chercheurs ou des intervenants non-affiliés seront examinés sur une base individuelle, au cas par cas.

• Comment soumettre un manuscrit?

Toutes les soumissions **doivent** inclure une version électronique du texte. Si vous envoyez le manuscrit par la poste, veuillez joindre une copie papier, ainsi qu'une version électronique gravée sur disque. Vous pouvez également soumettre vos manuscrits par courrier électronique.

Les adresses postale et électronique sont les suivantes:

Adresse postale:

**Centre Métropolis Atlantique,
ATTN: Laure Lafrance
5670 Spring Garden Road, Suite 509
Halifax NS B3J 1H6**

Adresse électronique:

lafrance.metropolis@ns.alianzinc.ca

avec la mention: «Soumission de manuscrit»

• **Droits d'auteur**

En ce qui a trait aux droits portant sur les textes soumis et acceptés, ils demeurent la propriété des auteurs qui sont donc libres de publier sous toute autre forme et selon leur discrétion les manuscrits qui auront fait l'objet d'une première publication dans cette série. Il revient cependant aux auteurs d'avertir le Centre Métropolis Atlantique de tout changement ayant trait au statut de publication de ces textes.

• **Langues officielles**

Le Centre Métropolis Atlantique se réserve le choix de publier les textes soumis dans l'une ou l'autre des langues officielles.

• **Quelles sont les étapes suivant la soumission d'un manuscrit?**

Le Centre Métropolis Atlantique accusera réception de tout envoi, par le biais d'un courriel, dans un délai pouvant aller jusqu'à 10 jours ouvrables.

Les éditeurs de la série (Laure Lafrance et les co-directeurs du Centre) étudieront ensuite les demandes de publication afin de s'assurer que leurs propos correspondent aux objectifs de recherche du CMA; qu'elles sont correctement documentées et que les sources bibliographiques y soient complètes et clairement indiquées. Si le texte soumis répond alors aux normes de la série, l'article sera envoyé pour évaluation au directeur du domaine de recherche correspondant.

Le résultat de ce processus d'évaluation sera communiqué aux auteurs de manuscrits. Il est alors possible que certains articles soient acceptés avec révision seulement, en quel cas, les auteurs devront soumettre une version finale du manuscrit au CMA, encore une fois sous format papier et électronique.

*****Pour toute question relative à la *Série de documents de recherche*, vous êtes priés de vous adresser à:**

Laure Lafrance, lafrance.metropolis@ns.aliantzinc.ca
ou (902) 422-0863

